

REVISED
VERSION*

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



AF

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁴ : C12N 15/00	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 89/12102 (43) International Publication Date: 14 December 1989 (14.12.89)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US89/02258 (22) International Filing Date: 23 May 1989 (23.05.89) (30) Priority data: 201,568 1 June 1988 (01.06.88) US 322,660 13 March 1989 (13.03.89) US (71) Applicant: THE TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY SYSTEM [US/US]; College Station, TX 77843 (US). (72) Inventors: SMITH, Roberta, H. ; Rt. 1, Box 701, Hearne, TX 77859 (US). GOULD, Jean, H. ; 807 Edgewood, Bryan, TX 77802 (US). ULIAN, Eugenio, C. ; 301 Ball Street, 1077, College Station, TX 77840 (US). (74) Agent: KUFFNER, Kenneth, E.; Arnold, White & Durkee, P.O. Box 4433, Houston, TX 77210 (US).	(81) Designated States: AT, AT (European patent), AU, BB, BE (European patent), BF (OAPI patent), BG, BJ (OAPI patent), BR, CF (OAPI patent), CG (OAPI patent), CH, CH (European patent), CM (OAPI patent), DE, DE (European patent), DK, FI, FR (European patent), GA (OAPI patent), GB, GB (European patent), HU, IT (European patent), JP, KP, KR, LK, LU, LU (European patent), MC, MG, ML (OAPI patent), MR (OAPI patent), MW, NL, NL (European patent), NO, RO, SD, SE, SE (European patent), SN (OAPI patent), SU, TD (OAPI patent), TG (OAPI patent). Published <i>With a revised version of international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i> Date of publication of the revised version of the international search report: 11 January 1990 (11.01.90)	
(54) Title: METHOD FOR TRANSFORMING PLANTS VIA THE SHOOT APEX (57) Abstract The invention relates to a novel method for transforming and rapidly regenerating plant tissue. The method employs target tissues which are the shoot apices thereby expanding the species range for transformation and reducing the risk of somaclonal variation.		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar
AU	Australia	FI	Finland	ML	Mali
BB	Barbados	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
BE	Belgium	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BF	Burkina Faso	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	SD	Sudan
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SN	Senegal
CG	Congo	LI	Liechtenstein	SU	Soviet Union
CH	Switzerland	LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
CM	Cameroon	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
DE	Germany, Federal Republic of	MC	Monaco	US	United States of America
DK	Denmark				

METHOD FOR TRANSFORMING PLANTS
VIA THE SHOOT APEX

5

This is a continuation-in-part of patent application serial no. 201,568 filed June 1, 1988.

The present invention relates to a method for transforming plants with a high level of rapid regeneration and
10 low risk of tissue culture-induced genetic variation. Specifically the method employs isolated shoot apices from seedling tissue, or axillary buds of intact plants as the target tissue for transformation and for subsequent use in the regeneration of plants.

15

The lack of routine, repeatable regeneration from plant cell culture systems for agriculturally important crops is a major obstacle in the application of genetic engineering technology to plants. Additionally, as
20 reported by Larkin and Scowcroft, Theor. Appl. Genet. 60, 197 (1981), the potential for somaclonal variation exists when plants are regenerated adventitiously in vitro. Somaclonal variants can result following A. tumefaciens mediated gene transfer. Somaclonal variation is the
25 genetic variability observed in plants derived from a callus intermediate. This phenomenon is undesirable where it is essential to maintain the original genetic integrity of transformed plants.

Agrobacterium tumefaciens has been the preferred vector for leaf disk, epidermal peel or other explants in a cocultivation system for gene transfer. A. tumefaciens mediated gene transfer has been developed using members of the Solanaceae family because of the ease of manipulation of this family in culture and infectivity of Agrobacterium species for this family. Although many other dicotyledonous species are known to be suitable hosts for Agrobacterium, plants from only a handful of these species have successfully been transformed principally due to the lack of regeneration systems.

Development of the leaf disk transformation system by Horsch et al., Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 38, 467 (1987), allowed almost routine transfer of foreign genetic material, but only into a limited number of plant species. This model system was demonstrated using members of the Solanaceae family: petunia, tobacco and tomato species which are comparatively easy to regenerate from leaf explant material. The leaf disk technique overcame many of the problems inherent in the protoplast transformation systems, particularly the extended culture period required and the limited regeneration of plants from protoplasts.

The leaf disk system, however, is severely limited. The most serious limitation is that few plant species can be regenerated from leaf tissues. Even for some cultivated petunia varieties, regeneration from leaf disks is difficult. Another limitation of the leaf disk system is that adventitious shoot meristems differentiate from epidermal and subepidermal leaf tissues. Derivatives of the leaf disk method have been developed to include the use of seedling tissues in conjunction with induction of somatic embryogenesis, as well as callus followed by shoot induction. In each of these cases, however, the potential

-3-

for somaclonal variation exists because the embryos, as well as the shoot meristem, must develop adventitiously.

Another system of plant transformation has been developed by Trinh et al., 5 Biotechnology, 1081 (1987). The system involves the use of the tobacco epidermal peel system and cocultivation with Agrobacterium. The benefit of this system was the direct production of flowers and seeds in eight weeks. Again, important concerns with this system are the limited adaptation of this technique to crop species and the potential for somaclonal variation, since the plants arise via adventitious organogenesis.

The present invention addresses the obstacles presented by previous techniques by using shoot apex tissue as the tissue subjected to gene transfer. Use of such a tissue permits rapid propagation of plants while perpetuating the unique clonal and genetic characteristics of the plant being transformed. Shoot meristem tissue, including shoot tip culture and axillary bud proliferation are preferred in the practice of the invention. The shoot apex is the most preferred explant for plant transformation as most herbaceous dicotyledon and monocotyledon plants can be regenerated into intact plants using this explant source. Shoot cultures also develop directly and rapidly into rooted plants. In addition to the shoot apex, other non-adventitious tissues such as the axillary bud can be used in the practice of this invention.

30

In applying the method of the invention to shoot apices, shoot apices excised from selected plants are cultured in an appropriate medium. After the apices have been excised or cultured for several days, the apices are exposed to a suitable vector such as Agrobacterium tumefaciens. The innoculated apices are then cultured for

several more days. Following cultivation, the apices are then transferred to a selection medium to differentiate transformed from nontransformed plant tissues. Transformed tissues are then selected and recultured in a
5 rooting medium. Rooted plants can then be grown under normal conditions.

This method permits rapid regeneration of transformed plants. Experiments with petunia for example have yielded
10 results within six weeks. Plants produced by the above method have been selfed and the resulting seeds aseptically germinated. Ninety percent of the seedlings produced were found to possess the new inserted gene, verifying sexual transmission of the new genetic information.

15

Bacteria from the genus Agrobacterium are preferred in the practice of this invention, however, other vectors capable of genetic transformation in plants can be used to include other bacteria, plasmids, viruses, and DNA
20 fragments.

Figure 1 shows the results of a fluorometric GUS assay of transformed plants indicating the course of GUS activity over 5 hours. The amount of methyl umbelliferone
25 produced was quantified with a Kontron spectrofluorimeter. This shows the successful transformation of petunia using the method of this invention.

The discussion and examples which follow detail the
30 best known method for performing the invention. It will be recognized that variations of this method may include different culture media or different vectors dependent upon the target plant species and the traits to be transferred into the target plants. Petunias, soybeans,
35 alfalfa, sunflowers, cotton, wheat and corn were chosen as the target plants in the following examples, however, the

-5-

method outlined below is adaptable to other plants capable of regeneration from shoot apices or axillary buds without significant experimentation or deviation from the spirit and scope of this invention.

5

The following examples merely illustrate the nature of the invention. It will be apparent to those skilled in the art that this method can be used for any dicotyledonous plant which can be regenerated from the shoot apex and which can be transformed by Agrobacterium. The method may also be modified to transform monocotyledonous species without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention.

15 While selection using kanamycin resistance is preferred, insertion of gene sequences coding for resistances to other antibiotics such as G418, neomycin, hygromycin or chloramphenicol or to herbicides such as glyphosate can be used as well as other selectable genes known to those skilled in the art. Additionally, certain additives may be used to enhance successful infection of the shoot species. These include acetosyringone and certain opines such as, but not limited to octapine, nopaline and leucinopine.

25

Example I

Germination of Explant Source

30 Seeds of the commercial petunia variety 'Rose Flash', an F_1 hybrid of Single Grandiflora and Deep Rose, were obtained from the Ball Seed Co., West Chicago, IL. The seeds were surface sterilized in 20% (v/v) commercial bleach to which a wetting agent such as Tween 20 or
35 dishwashing detergent had been added, for thirty minutes. The seeds were then rinsed five times with sterile water.

The sterilized seeds were then aseptically germinated on Murashige and Skoog salts with 30% sucrose (w/v). The Murashige and Skoog salts were prepared as follows: Stock solutions of the following salts were prepared (in g/l of

5 stock):

- (1) Nitrates: ammonium nitrate (NH_4NO_3), 165; potassium nitrate (KNO_3), 190;
- (2) Sulfates: magnesium sulfate ($\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$), 37
10 manganous sulfate ($\text{MnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$), 1.690; zinc sulfate ($\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$), 0.860; cupric sulfate ($\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$), 0.0025;
- (3) Halides: calcium chloride ($\text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$), 44; potassium iodide (KI), 0.083; cobalt chloride ($\text{CoCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$), 0.0025;
- 15 (4) PO_4 , BO_3 , MoO_4 : potassium phosphate (KH_2PO_4), 17; boric acid (H_3BO_3), 0.620; sodium molybdate ($\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$), 0.025;
- (5) Na_2FeEDTA : ferrous sulfate ($\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$), 2.784; ethylene-diaminetetraacetic acid, disodium salt
20 (Na_2EDTA), 3.724.

Ten ml of each of the five above stocks were added to one liter of medium prepared.

25

Excision and Inoculation of Shoot Apices

After one week of germination shoot apices were excised from the plants germinated from the above procedure. The apices were 0.3 x 0.6 mm in size and consisted of the apical dome and two primordial leaves. The
30 excised shoot apices were then cultured on the Murashige and Skoog salts described above with the following added components, 0.1 mg/l N⁶-benzyladenine, 30,000 mg/l sucrose and 2,000 mg/l gel-rite obtained from KC Biological,
35 Kansas City, MO.

-7-

After two days of culturing, isolated shoot apices were inoculated with a 5 µl drop of Agrobacterium tumefaciens suspension described below. The plates were left open in a transfer hood until the drop had dried. The culture plate with the shoot apices was then sealed and incubated for two days at 25° C, with a light to dark period of 16:8 hours.

Preparation of A. Tumefaciens for Inoculation

10

The Agrobacterium suspension used to inoculate the shoot apices was prepared as follows: A binary vector pRGUS2 was created by Dr. Terry Thomas of Texas A&M University by cloning a BamHI - SstI restriction fragment containing the beta-glucuronidase (GUS) coding region, isolated from pGUS1 into the polylinker site of pROK2, an expression vector derived from pROK1(20) by the insertion of a polylinker. This placed the GUS gene between the CamV 35S promoter and the nopaline synthase polyadenylation signal in the T-DNA. The pRGUS plasmid was conjugated from E. coli strain HB101 into the avirulent A. tumefaciens strain LBA 4404 as described in Simpson et al, Plant Mol. Biol. 6:403-415. The result was a strain of A. tumefaciens which contained the genes for kanamycin resistance and for beta-glucuronidase. This permitted easy differentiation and detection of transformed tissues.

The A. tumefaciens LBA 4404 (pRGUS 2) was grown in a medium prepared as follows: A 100 ml salt solution was prepared comprising 3.9 g dibasic potassium phosphate .3H₂O; 1 g sodium monobasic phosphate, 1 g NH₄Cl₂ and .15 g potassium chloride. The salt solution was then autoclaved at 121° C, and 18 psi for 15 minutes. A separate 900 ml media solution was prepared containing .59/l sucrose; 13 mg calcium chloride, 0.5 g/l magnesium sulfate, 10 µl ferric sulfate stock (250 mg/ml FeSO₄·7H₂O)

-8-

Where the bacterium was cultured in stock cultures, 15g of agar was added to the media solution, for suspension or liquid cultures, agar was omitted. The media solution was then autoclaved for 25 minutes and cooled. The salt and media solutions were then combined and 50 mg of kanamycin was added to the medium. A. tumefaciens LBA 4404 (pRGUS 2) was grown on 1 ml of medium for 2 days. The medium and cultured A. tumefaciens was then used to inoculate the shoot apices in the manner described above.

10

Selection and Cloning of Transformed Apices

Following inoculation and incubation, the shoot apices were transferred to fresh medium comprising the Murashige and Skoog salts described above with 0.1 mg/l N6-benzyladenine, 30,000 mg/l sucrose and 2,000 mg/l gel-rite and cultured for two additional days. The apices were then subcultured onto a medium comprising Murashige and Skoog salts as described above; 0.1 mg/l N6-benzyladenine, 30,000 mg/l sucrose, 2,000 mg/l gel-rite, 200 mg/l kanamycin and 500 mg/l carbenicillin, the latter obtained from Sigma, St. Louis, MO).

After three weeks of incubation, all explants had grown. Untransformed tissues exhibited bleaching in the presence of 200 mg/l kanamycin medium. Transformed shoots appeared as green regions at the base of bleached leaves. The bleached leaves were then removed and the green tissue recultured onto medium containing 100 mg/l kanamycin. Single green shoots developed from the explants after 1 week and were transferred to rooting medium containing Murashige and Skoog salts, 3% sucrose, 100 mg/l kanamycin and 500 mg/l carbenicillin. All the explants exhibited root production.

35

-9-

The rooted plants were then assayed for the presence of the GUS gene as follows. Approximately 50 mg of plant tissue was homogenized in an Eppendorf tube with a pestle in 200 μ l of 50 mM NaPO_4 , pH 7.0, 10 mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, (Sigma Chemical) 0.1% Sarkosyl, (Sigma Chemical) 10 mM beta-mercaptoethanol. One hundred μ l of the extract were added to 100 μ l of 2mM 4-methyl umbelliferil glucuronide dissolved in the same buffer. The reaction was incubated at 37° C for 5 hours and stopped with 1 ml of 0.2 M Na_2CO_3 . The production of methyl umbelliferone was quantified with a Kontron spectro fluorimeter at hourly intervals. The result of the assay can be seen in Figure 1.

15 Example 2 - Comparison of Shoot Apex and Leaf Disk Culture Systems.

A comparison was made between the leaf disk culture method and the shoot apex method of the present invention.

20 One hundred thirteen shoot apices from Petunia hybrida cv 'Rose Flash' were co-cultivated with A. tumefaciens and cultured on selective medium as described above. Shoots with green bases were isolated (Table 1) and transferred to medium containing 100 mg kanamycin /l for 1 week and subsequently transferred to rooting medium as described above.

30 Leaf disks were also taken from Petunia hybrida cv 'Rose Flash' and transformed according to the procedure described by Horsch et al. Science 227, 1229 (1985). The selective media was composed of MS medium containing 1.0 mg/l N6-benzyladenine, 0.1 naphthalene acetic acid, 100 mg/l, 200 mg/l or 300 mg/l kanamycin and 500 mg/l carbenicillin. Table 1 reflects the results achieved.

-10-

5

TABLE 1

Kanamycin Concentration:mg/l		<u>Leaf Disk</u>		<u>Shoot Apex</u>
		<u>300</u>		<u>200</u>
10	Callus Production	13/28 ^a (46%)	25/25 (100%)	3/113 (3%)
	Shoot Production	13/28 (46%)	0/25 (0%)	7/113 (6%)
	Shoots Rooting	2/13 (15%)	-	5/7 (71%)
	Plants GUS Positive	2/2 (100%)	-	5/5 (100%)

15

^a Number of original explants.

-11-

Callus production from leaf disk explants was high on 100 and 300 mg kanamycin /l (46% and 100%) and very limited from shoot apex explants (3%). Shoot production from shoot apex explants cultured on kanamycin was low (6%) as compared with that of leaf disk (46%). However, the shoots that formed from shoot apex explants were more likely to be transformed (71%) than those formed using the leaf disk explant (15%).

10 As can be seen from this data, the key difference between the two techniques is the almost universal regeneration of plants from the shoot apex. Similar results can be achieved with lateral bud explants.

15 To determine if chimeric plants were produced using the shoot apex explant method, GUS assays of the type described above were conducted on the leaves, petals, ovaries, and ovule tissues from various regions of GUS positive plants. All tissues assayed showed GUS
20 gene expression.

Example 3 - Stability of Incorporation

The important issue in plant transformation is
25 stable incorporation of the trait in the germ line and expression in the progeny. If this occurs, the question of the chimeric nature of the primary transformants is less of a concern.

30 To determine if the trait had been successfully passed to the next generation in Example 2, flowers of GUS positive plants were selfed. Three hundred and seven seeds from twenty flowers of four different GUS positive plants were germinated as described above and
35 seedlings were assayed for GUS activity. As shown in

-12-

Table 2, approximately 90% of the seedlings were GUS positive.

TABLE 2

	Plant	Flower	Seeds	GUS+	GUS-	% Expression (GUS+/totals)	Confidence Limits
5	(95%)						
	1	1	15	14	1	93.3	(73-100)
		2	15	14	1	93.3	(73-100)
10		3	15	14	1	93.3	(73-100)
		4	15	13	2	86.7	(60-98)
		5	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	(78-100)
	Total		75	70	5	x = 93.3	
15	2	1	15	14	1	93.3	(73-100)
		2	15	13	2	87.0	(60-100)
		3	23	20	3	87.0	(65-100)
		4	16	14	2	87.5	(61-100)
		5	<u>13</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>92.0</u>	(58-100)
20	Total		82	73	9	x = 89	
	3	1	15	13	2	86.7	(58-98)
		2	15	15	0	100.0	(78-100)
		3	15	14	1	93.3	(73-100)
25		4	15	14	1	93.3	(73-100)
		5	<u>15</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>86.7</u>	(60-98)
	Total		75	69	6	x = 92	
	4	1	15	10	5	66.6	(37-88)
30		2	15	12	3	80	(52-97)
		3	15	11	4	73	(43-93)
		4	15	12	3	80	(52-97)
		5	<u>15</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>80</u>	(52-97)
	Total		75	57	18	x = 76	
35							

x = average value.

-14-

Referring to Table 3, calculated Chi square values for the expression of the GUS gene in the progeny on one plant indicated a 3:1 segregation pattern of a monohybrid which indicates insertion on a single chromosome. Chi square values for 3 of the plants indicated a 15:1 segregation pattern of duplicate dominant dihybrid segregation which indicates insertion of copies on two independent chromosomes.

-15-

TABLE 3

Segregation Ratio

	(3:1)		(15:1)	
	χ^2	P	χ^2	P
Plant 1	13.44	<0.001	0.03	>0.80
Plant 2	9.84	<0.01	3.12	>0.05
Plant 3	11.56	<0.001	0.39	>0.5
Plant 4	0.04	>0.8	40.32	<0.01

Data calculated with $df=1$; at the 95% confidence level.

-16-

Example 4

Two additional petunia cultivars, V23xR51 from T. Gerats and 'White Flash' (F1 hybrid: Grandiflora x Pure White Ball Seed, Co., Chicago, Illinois) were transformed using the shoot apex method described above. As shown in Table 4, the transformation of these strains achieved results similar to those found in Table 1. This indicates that the procedure can be used for other plant varieties with similar success.

The transformed Petunia hybrida strain V23XR51 were selfed and the seeds collected and cultivated. As shown in Table 5, 70% to 90% of the progeny exhibit GUS activity indicated that the gene was successfully transmitted to the next generation.

-17-

TABLE 4

Comparison of transformation of *Petunia hybrida* cv. 'V23XR51' and 'White Flash' using the leaf disk and shoot apex system as in Example 1.

		<u>Leaf Disk</u>		<u>Shoot Apex</u>
[Kanamycin] mg/l		<u>100</u>	<u>300</u>	<u>200</u>
10	V23xR51			
	No. of Explants	26	34	18
	Callus Produced	x ^a	14	0
	Shoots Produced	--	14	8
15	Shoots Rooting	--	9	8
	Plants GUS Positive	--	5	2
White Flash				
20	No. of Explants	30	33	102
	Callus Produced	30	12	0
	Shoots Produced	0	11	10
	Shoots Rooting	--	11	4
25	Plants GUS Positive	--	11	4

a_x = Number of shoots was very large and not counted.

-18-

TABLE 5

Segregation for the GUS gene in the progeny of self
pollinated GUS + plants of cv. 'V23xR51' as in Example 2.

5

10	Plant	Number of Seeds	GUS(+)	GUS(-)	% Expression
15	1	40	28	12	70.0
	2	40	36	4	90.0

-19-

This data, coupled with the data shown in Example 3 highlights one of the key features of the method of the invention - the transformation of the germ cells as well as the somatic cells of the target plant which permits inheritance of the new trait by future generations. Techniques used by others produce sterile plants, failure to transform the germ cells or the transformation of the germ cells occur at a much lower percentage resulting in fewer progeny expressing the desired trait.

10

Chi Squared values for the expression of the GUS gene in the progeny of the transformed 'V23xR51' plants indicated a 3:1 segregation of a monohybrid which indicates insertion on a single chromosome. Chi squared values for the other plant indicated a 15:1 segregation pattern of duplicate dominant dihybrid segregation which indicates insertion of copies of the GUS gene on two independent chromosomes.

TABLE 6

Evaluation of the segregation pattern of GUS expression in progeny of transformed plants of cv. 'V23xRS1'

5	Segregation Ratio				
	(3:1)		(15:1)		
10	Plant	χ^2_a	P	χ^2	P
	1	0.533	>0.30	38.5	<0.01
	2	4.8	<0.05	0.96	>0.30

15 Data calculated with df=1; at the 95% confidence level.

-21-

Example 5

Transformation via the shoot apex has also been employed with cotton, specifically Gossypium hirsutum, var. Coker 312. Tamcot CAB-CS. and Gossypium barbadense,
5 var. Pima 5-6. The steps employed were the same as described above with the following variations.

Seeds were disinfected by rinsing them with distilled
10 water for 10 minutes and then soaked in 20% commercial bleach with 1 drop of Tween 20 for 15 minutes. The seeds were then rinsed three times with sterile water.

Following disinfection, the seeds were transferred to
15 sterile petri plates chalazal end down. Five seeds were placed on each plate. The plates contained a solution containing MS halides and solidified with 0.8% agar. The plates were incubated unsealed in darkness at 30° C for four days. The plates were then subjected to a 16 hour
20 day regimen for one day prior to isolation.

To ensure that the shoot apices were excised from plants at similar stages of development, the most uniform populations of seedlings were used. Slower germinating or
25 contaminated seedlings were discarded.

Shoot apices were isolated from 5 day old seedlings with the aid of a dissecting microscope. In some cases, sterile hypodermic needles, 22 and 27 gauge, mounted on a
30 plastic syringe casing were employed to accomplish the dissection.

The apex was excised by first removing one of the two cotyledons at its base. The shoot region was then removed
35 from the seedling. The base of the shoot region was then trimmed to expose the basal face of the apical meristem.

-22-

A modification of this technique was also used to remove the largest leaf and underlying tissue exposing the lateral face of the apical meristem as well as the basal face.

5

Shoot apices isolated from 3 to 4 day old seedlings consisted of the meristematic dome and two primordial leaves. Where the seedlings were older (5 to 7 days) the apices often contained more than the two leaf primordia.

10

The isolated apices were then cultured on a basal MS medium (described below) without hormones, at 28-30°C under 16-hour photo period. Light was provided using a continuation of Gro-Lux® and cool fluorescent lights at 15 50µ E/m² sec. The apices were recultured onto fresh medium.

The medium comprised MS basal salt formulation described by Murashige & Skoog (op. cit. Murashige & 20 Skoog, 1962) with the following additions: Sucrose, 15,000 mg/l; thiamine 6.4 mg/l; TC agar, 8,000 mg/l. Prior to use, the medium was sterilized by standard autoclaving methods and dispensed into sterile petri plates.

25

The apices were inoculated with Agrobacterium tumefaciens within two days of excision. Inoculation was accomplished by scraping the bacteria from the culture plate and applying this plaque to the cut surfaces of the 30 shoot apices using either a hypodermic needle or a toothpick.

The apices were inoculated with one of two strains of A. tumefaciens. The first is strain LBA 4404 "GUS2" 35 described above. The second is strain EHA1 which harbors a similar Ti plasmid as in GUS2. This plasmid was

-23-

inserted into the EHAI strain and named "GUS₃" by Dr. T. McKnight of Texas A&M University. Strain EHAI is believed to contain a hyper virulent region which increases the host range of A. tumefaciens.

5

In addition to the use of A. tumefaciens strain EHAI, the following additives were used to enhance successful infection: acetosyringone, 10-30 µM; and nopaline, 10-100 µM. (Veluthambi et al. submitted)

10

After two day's contact with A. tumefaciens, the explants were transferred to media containing 500 mg/l carbenecillin for one week. The explants were then transferred to media containing 7.5 mg/l kanamycin and 500
15 mg/l carbenecillin for another week. Finally, the explants were transferred to media containing carbene-
cillin 500 mg/l weekly.

When shoots contained four or more leaves the shoot
20 bases were dipped in sterile rootone and transferred to sterile vermiculite in 3 inch clay pots and covered.

The explants and plantlets were assayed for the GUS gene as described above and were found to be GUS positive.
25

Example 6

Sunflower, Helianthus annuus, var. Triumph 560. Seeds
30 were germinated shoots isolated and incubated with A. tumefaciens EHAI, acetosyringone and nopaline (1) using the procedures described for cotton with the following modifications. The seeds were incubated for 2-3 days prior to shoot isolation. The Basal medium described for
35 cotton, was supplemented with 1 mg/l IAA (indoleacetic acid).

-24-

Four sunflower plants flowered and produced seed. These seeds were germinated and many of the progeny seedlings were GUS positive.

5

Example 6

Soybean, Glycine max, var. Dowling & Bragg. Sterilized seeds were allowed to germinate for 1 day and then the plumule was excised and cultured as described above.
10 Shoot apices were also obtained from seeds which had been allowed to imbibe water for 1 hour.

The culture media used were the same as that used for cotton except that the media used for shoot cultivation
15 was supplemented with 0.1 mg/l kinetin to promote growth of the apices and 1.0 mg/l kinetin was added to promote adventitious shoot production.

Co-cultivation with A. tumefaciens EHAI, nopaline and
20 acetosyringone was the same as for cotton above.

Following 2 days of co-cultivation with Agrobacterium, explants were transferred to media containing 25 or 50 mg/l kanamycin and 500 mg/l carbenicillin for 1
25 week. The explants were then transferred to hormone free media with 500 mg/l carbenicillin.

Spontaneous rooting was observed in many of the explants grown on media containing carbenicillin. Those
30 explants not exhibiting roots were dipped in sterile rootone. The plants were then aseptically transferred to sterile vermiculite in 3 inch pots and covered.

A significant number of shoots were found to be GUS
35 positive when grown in culture. Of the seedlings which survived transfer to soil, all were GUS positive.

-25-

Example 7

Alfalfa, Medicago sativa var. Southern Special. Seeds were germinated and shoots isolated as described for
5 cotton. Tissues were co-cultivated with A. tumefaciens EHAI, acetosyringone and nopaline.

Several GUS positive tissues were produced in vitro and 10 plants have been established in pots. Of these, at
10 least four are positive for GUS.

Example 8

Corn (variety Funks 6-90) and wheat (variety chinese
15 spring), were rinsed with distilled water 10 minutes, soaked in 20% commercial bleach which included one drop of tween 20 for 15 minutes and rinsed three times in sterile water. The disinfected seeds were transferred to media containing MS halides and sulfates and solidified with
20 0.8% agar. The embryo region of the seed was placed in contact with agar. Unsealed plates were incubated in darkness at 30°C for one day.

Shoot apices were isolated with the aid of a
25 dissecting microscope. The leaf and underlying tissue were excised exposing the lateral face of the apical meristem as well as the basal face.

Isolated apices were cultured on a basal MS medium
30 without hormones. Tissues were recultured onto fresh media weekly. Medium consisted of the MS basal salt formulation (Murashige & Skoog, 1962) and the following in mg/l); sucrose 15,000; thiamine 0.4; TC agar, 8,000. The media were sterilized by autoclaving under standard
35 conditions and dispensed into sterile plastic petri dishes. Cultures were maintained at 28-30°C, for 16 hours

-26-

using a combination of Gro-lux and cool white fluorescent lights, 50 μ E/m²sec.

Shoot apex explants were either inoculated the day
5 of isolation, or one and two days after isolation.
Agrobacterium tumefaciens, strain EHAl containing the
"GUS3" construct from Dr. Tom McKnight, was used. This
strain is supposed to contain a hyper-active virulence
region which may increase the range of species infected
10 (and transformed) by this strain (Tom McKnight, personal
communication). The Agrobacteria were scraped from a
confluent plate, inoculated 2-3 days previously
containing appropriate growth media and antibiotic. The
cut surfaces of the shoot species were inoculated with
15 Agrobacterium with a sterile hypodermic needle or sterile
toothpick.

In addition to use of the hyper-virulent "GUS3"
strain of Agrobacterium tumefaciens, nopaline and
20 acetosyringone were mixed with Agrobacterium prior to the
inoculation step to increase virulence and trigger other
responses associated with successful infection.

After two days of contact with Agrobacterium, the
25 explants were transferred to media containing 500 mg/l
carbenicillin for one week; then transferred to media
containing 7.5 mg/l kanamycin and 500 mg/l carbenicillin
for one week; and finally transferred to new media
containing 500 mg/l carbenicillin at weekly intervals.

30

Developing corn and wheat shoots rooted spontaneously
after two or three weeks.

As described in Ulian et al., 1988. Corn and other
35 monocots apparently contain an enzyme which will cleave
the GUS substrate, producing a weak positive reaction.

-27-

This weak GUS positive response in monocots is therefore not indicative of successful transformation. A strong GUS positive response, such as has been observed with some of the corn and wheat tissues may be indicative of
5 successfully transformation.

DNA was extracted according to Rogers and Bendich (1985, Plant Molec. Biol. 5:69-76), restricted using HindIII (Boehringer Mannheim Inc.) according to
10 manufacturer's directions and separated by electrophoresis overnight. Transfer of DNA from gel to nylon filter was done according to Southern (1975, J. Molec. Biol. 98:503), using the alkaline transfer modification of Reed and Mann (1985, Nucleic Acid Res. 13:7207-7221). DNA was
15 hybridized to ^{32}P -labeled GUS probe consisting of the ^{35}S promoter, GUS sequence and polyadenylation coding regions, described previously. Southern analysis of the corn plants confirmed successful transformation.

-28-

CLAIMS:

1. A method for transforming plant tissues comprising
 - 5 a) culturing excised shoot meristem tissue in a suitable growth medium; and
 - b) innoculating said shoot meristem tissue with a suitable vector capable of transforming said
10 tissue.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein said tissue is the shoot apex excised from a plant.
15
3. The method of claim 2 wherein said shoot apex comprises the apical dome and two or more primordial leaves excised from said plant.
20
4. The method of claim 1 wherein said tissue is an axillary bud.
25
5. The method of claim 1 wherein said vector is Agrobacterium tumefaciens.
- 30 6. The method of claim 1 wherein said vector contains the genetic code for a selection or reporter traits.
7. The method of claim 6 wherein said selection trait is
35 antibiotic resistance.

-29-

8. The method of claim 5 wherein said Agrobacterium tumefaciens is strain LBA 4404 (pRGUS2).

5 9. The method of claim 5 wherein said Agrobacterium tumefaciens is strain EHAl (pRGUS₃).

10 10. The method of claim 5 with the added step of adding acetosyringone and an opine during the transformation step.

15 11. The method of claim 10 wherein the opine is nopaline.

12. The method of claim 1 further comprising the steps of:

- 20 a) selecting for the transformed plant tissue; and
- b) culturing the transformed plant tissue to induce root growth.

25 13. A method for transforming plant tissue comprising:

- a) culturing excised shoot apical tissue in a suitable growth medium;
- 30 b) innoculating said shoot apical tissue with Agrobacterium tumefaciens to transform said tissue;

-30-

- c) selecting for the transformed plant tissue; and
- d) culturing the transformed plant tissue to induce root growth.

5



14. The method of claim 13 with the added step of adding acetosyringene and an opine during the transformation step.

10

15. The method of claim 13 wherein the Agrobacterium is strain LBA 4404(pRGUS2) or strain EHA1(pRGUS3).

Fig. 1A

1/1

**Fig. 1B**

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US 89/02258

I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (If several classification symbols apply, indicate all) ⁶ According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC IPC ⁴ : C 12 N 15/00		
II. FIELDS SEARCHED		
Minimum Documentation Searched ⁷		
Classification System	Classification Symbols	
IPC ⁴	C 12 N	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched ⁸		
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT ⁹		
Category ⁹	Citation of Document, ¹¹ with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹²	Relevant to Claim No. ¹³
X	Plant Physiology (Suppl.), vol. 86, no. 4, April 1988, Pre Program Annual Meeting of the American Society of Plant Physiologists, Reno, Nevada, US, 10-14 July 1988, R.H. Smith et al.: "A shoot apex explant for transformation", page 108, abstract no. 646 see abstract	1-7, 12, 13
Y	--	10, 11, 14
P, X	FR, A, 2621780 (OJI PAPER CO. LTD) 21 April 1989 see the whole document	1-7, 12, 13
P, X	In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology, vol. 24, no. 9, September 1988, Tissue Culture Association Inc., E.C. Ulian et al.: "Transformation of plants via the shoot apex", pages 951-954 see the whole article	1-8, 12, 13, 15
--		
./.		
* Special categories of cited documents: ¹⁰ "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step "Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "Z" document member of the same patent family		
IV. CERTIFICATION		
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 7th September 1989		Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 13. 11. 89
International Searching Authority EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE		Signature of Authorized Officer T.K. WILLIS

III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)		
Category*	Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No
O,Y	Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, supplement 12c, UCLA Symposia on Molecular & Cellular Biology, Abstracts, 17th Annual Meetings, 28 February - 10 April 1988, Molecular Biology of Plant-Pathogen Interactions, 26 March - 1 April 1988, Plenary Session Afternoon, 27 March, Conference Address by S.B. Gelvin, Alan R. Liss, Inc., (New York, US), J.M. Ji et al.: "The overdrive enhancer sequence stimulates production of T-strands from the agrobacterium tumefaciens tumor-inducing plasmid", page 229, abstract no. Y 003 see the whole abstract --	10,11,14
Y	EP, A, 0267159 (CIBA-GEIGY & LUBRIZOL) 11 May 1988 see claims 1,7 --	10,11,14
A	Plant Molecular Biology, vol. 8, no. 4, 1987, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, (Dordrecht, NL), S.N. Sheikholeslam et al.: "Aceto- syringone promotes high efficiency transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana explants by Agrobacterium tumefaciens", pages 291-298 see abstract --	10,11,14
A	EP, A, 0099255 (INTERNATIONAL PAPER CO.) 25 January 1984 see claims --	10,11,14
A	Plant Molecular Biology, vol. 7, 1986, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, (Dordrecht, NL), A.C.F. Graves et al.: "The Transformation of Zea mays seedlings with Agrobacterium tumefaciens", pages 43-50 see page 49, right-hand column, lines 20-27 --	4
A	Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau, abstract 881674733, "Potato. Cytogenetics", & Stichting voor Plantenveredeling (SVP), Wageningen, NL, 1988, p. 49-50, 112-113 see abstract --	4
	./.	

International Application No. PCT/US 89/02258

III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)		
Category *	Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No
A	EP, A, 0257993 (DU PONT) 2 March 1988 see examples VIII, VI -----	10,11,14

ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO.

US 8902258
SA 29257

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on 08/12/89. The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
FR-A- 2621780	21-04-89	GB-A- 2211204	28-06-89
EP-A- 0267159	11-05-88	AU-A- 8089387	12-05-88
		JP-A- 63141590	14-06-88
EP-A- 0099255	25-01-84	US-A- 4459355	10-07-84
		CA-A- 1195628	22-10-85
		JP-A- 59063187	10-04-84
EP-A- 0257993	02-03-88	AU-A- 7638387	03-03-88
		JP-A- 63071184	31-03-88

